

## Comparison of Websites that Offer List of Programs

Name of list:	Focus	Program designations	Summary of key features
Clearinghouse for Military Family Readiness Continuum	Broad range of prevention, treatment, and education programs that can support military personnel and their families.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective (i.e., RCT Or Quasi)</li> <li>• Promising</li> <li>• Unclear (i.e., Positive, Neutral, or Negative)</li> <li>• Ineffective</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rigorous review process**</li> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Replications and duration of effects emphasized</li> <li>• Concise fact sheets</li> <li>• Broad range of youth, adult, and family programs</li> </ul>
Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development	Prevention programs that target problem behavior, education, emotional well-being, physical health, and positive relationships.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Model</li> <li>• Promising</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rigorous review process**</li> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Replications and duration of effects emphasized</li> <li>• Comprehensive summaries</li> <li>• Specific focus on youth programs</li> </ul>
National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices (NREPP)	Mental health and substance abuse prevention and treatment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• None</li> </ul> <p>*Previous designations as model, effective, and promising have not been made since 2004.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All studies considered, even with inferior designs</li> <li>• Only material submitted by developer is reviewed</li> <li>• Broad range of youth, adult, and family programs</li> </ul>
Crime-solutions. gov	Interventions that seek to prevent or reduce crime, delinquency, and related problems.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Effective</li> <li>• Promising</li> <li>• No effects</li> </ul> <p>*crimesolutions.gov outlines a scoring system that summarizes considerations and guides program designations.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Duration of effects emphasized</li> <li>• Specific focus on crime and delinquency</li> </ul>
What Works Clearinghouse (WWC)	Programs, products, practices, and policies that improve outcomes in education.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Meets Evidence Standards w/o Reservations</li> <li>• Meets with Reservations</li> <li>• Does Not Meet Evidence Standards</li> </ul> <p>*Several secondary ratings are also included.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Comprehensive and very detailed reports provided for each program</li> <li>• Specific focus on education outcomes</li> </ul>
Promising Practices Network	Child health, school readiness and success, strong families.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Proven</li> <li>• Promising</li> <li>• Other (if reviewed by other organizations)</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Comprehensive reports</li> <li>• Specific focus on programs for children and their families</li> </ul>

Name of list:	Focus	Program designations	Summary of key features
National Dropout Prevention Center and Network	School dropout and graduation outcomes.	Evidence rated as: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Strong</li> <li>• Moderate</li> <li>• Limited</li> <li>• Insufficient</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Submitted materials only</li> <li>• Replications emphasized</li> <li>• Specific focus on school dropout outcomes</li> </ul>
OJJDP Model Programs Guide	Prevention, treatment, and sanctions designed to address juvenile justice, mental health, and substance abuse among adolescents.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Exemplary</li> <li>• Effective</li> <li>• Promising</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moving towards same criteria as crimesolutions.org</li> <li>• Specific focus on youth programs</li> </ul>
Child Trends What Works	Out-of-school social interventions that address a wide variety of youth-focused outcomes.	None, although individual fact sheets indicate whether a program works or does not work.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Summaries of individual evaluations</li> <li>• Specific focus on youth programs</li> </ul>
Findyouthinfo.gov Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program Directory	Teen pregnancy prevention, STD prevention, and prevention of risky sexual behaviors.	Quality of research rated as high, moderate, or low. Only moderate or high quality are considered for “evidence-based” list.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Summaries of studies</li> <li>• Specific focus on teen pregnancy and STD prevention</li> </ul>
Findyouthinfo.gov Substance Abuse, Violence, and Other Risk Behavior program directory	Academic problems; aggression; violence; gang involvement; ATOD; delinquency; family functioning; sexual activity/exploitation; trauma.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Level 1 = robust empirical findings</li> <li>• Level 2 = adequate empirical findings</li> <li>• Level 3 = promising empirical findings</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Moving towards same criteria as crimesolutions.org</li> <li>• Specific focus on youth programs</li> </ul>
California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse	Variety of topics related to child welfare. An advisory committee selects topics.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Well-supported by research</li> <li>• Supported by research</li> <li>• Promising research evidence</li> <li>• Evidence fails to demonstrate effect</li> <li>• Concerning Practice</li> <li>• Not able to be rated</li> </ul> <p>*Also rated on relevance to child welfare system.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Rigorous review process</li> <li>• Full literature review</li> <li>• Replications and duration of effects emphasized</li> <li>• Specific focus on select child welfare topics</li> </ul>

\*\*Organizations categorized as having a rigorous review process adhere to all of the following procedures: Conduct a full literature review, systematically consider a broad range of methodological considerations, and require that top-rated programs have demonstrated positive outcomes that persist for at least one year beyond baseline, and evaluation findings have been replicated across multiple sites.