<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of list:</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Program designations</th>
<th>Summary of key features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Clearinghouse for Military Family Readiness Continuum | Broad range of prevention, treatment, and education programs that can support military personnel and their families. | • Effective (i.e., RCT Or Quasi)  
• Promising  
• Unclear (i.e., Positive, Neutral, or Negative)  
• Ineffective | • Rigorous review process**  
• Full literature review  
• Replications and duration of effects emphasized  
• Concise fact sheets  
• Broad range of youth, adult, and family programs |
| Blueprints for Healthy Youth Development | Prevention programs that target problem behavior, education, emotional well-being, physical health, and positive relationships. | • Model  
• Promising | • Rigorous review process**  
• Full literature review  
• Replications and duration of effects emphasized  
• Comprehensive summaries  
• Specific focus on youth programs |
| National Registry of Evidence-based Programs and Practices (NREPP) | Mental health and substance abuse prevention and treatment. | • None  
*Previous designations as model, effective, and promising have not been made since 2004. | • All studies considered, even with inferior designs  
• Only material submitted by developer is reviewed  
• Broad range of youth, adult, and family programs |
| Crime-solutions.gov | Interventions that seek to prevent or reduce crime, delinquency, and related problems. | • Effective  
• Promising  
• No effects | • Full literature review  
• Duration of effects emphasized  
• Specific focus on crime and delinquency |
| What Works Clearinghouse (WWC) | Programs, products, practices, and policies that improve outcomes in education. | • Meets Evidence Standards w/o Reservations  
• Meets with Reservations  
• Does Not Meet Evidence Standards  
*Several secondary ratings are also included. | • Full literature review  
• Comprehensive and very detailed reports provided for each program  
• Specific focus on education outcomes |
| Promising Practices Network | Child health, school readiness and success, strong families. | • Proven  
• Promising  
• Other (if reviewed by other organizations) | • Full literature review  
• Comprehensive reports  
• Specific focus on programs for children and their families |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of list:</th>
<th>Focus</th>
<th>Program designations</th>
<th>Summary of key features</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National Dropout Prevention Center and Network</td>
<td>School dropout and graduation outcomes.</td>
<td>Evidence rated as:</td>
<td>• Submitted materials only • Replications emphasized • Specific focus on school dropout outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Strong • Moderate • Limited • Insufficient</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJJDP Model Programs Guide</td>
<td>Prevention, treatment, and sanctions designed to address juvenile justice, mental health, and substance abuse among adolescents.</td>
<td>• Exemplary • Effective • Promising</td>
<td>• Moving towards same criteria as crimesolutions.org • Specific focus on youth programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child Trends What Works</td>
<td>Out-of-school social interventions that address a wide variety of youth-focused outcomes.</td>
<td>None, although individual fact sheets indicate whether a program works or does not work.</td>
<td>• Summaries of individual evaluations • Specific focus on youth programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findyouthinfo.gov Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program Directory</td>
<td>Teen pregnancy prevention, STD prevention, and prevention of risky sexual behaviors.</td>
<td>Quality of research rated as high, moderate, or low. Only moderate or high quality are considered for “evidence-based” list.</td>
<td>• Full literature review • Summaries of studies • Specific focus on teen pregnancy and STD prevention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Findyouthinfo.gov Substance Abuse, Violence, and Other Risk Behavior program directory</td>
<td>Academic problems; aggression; violence; gang involvement; ATOD; delinquency; family functioning; sexual activity/exploitation; trauma.</td>
<td>• Level 1 = robust empirical findings • Level 2 = adequate empirical findings • Level 3 = promising empirical findings</td>
<td>• Moving towards same criteria as crimesolutions.org • Specific focus on youth programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Evidence-Based Clearinghouse</td>
<td>Variety of topics related to child welfare. An advisory committee selects topics.</td>
<td>• Well-supported by research • Supported by research • Promising research evidence • Evidence fails to demonstrate effect • Concerning Practice • Not able to be rated</td>
<td>• Rigorous review process • Full literature review • Replications and duration of effects emphasized • Specific focus on select child welfare topics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Also rated on relevance to child welfare system.**

**Organizations categorized as having a rigorous review process adhere to all of the following procedures: Conduct a full literature review, systematically consider a broad range of methodological considerations, and require that top-rated programs have demonstrated positive outcomes that persist for at least one year beyond baseline, and evaluation findings have been replicated across multiple sites.**