



## Empirical Evidence of the Connections between Military Family Readiness and Military Readiness, Warfighting Capability, and Lethality: Version 3

#### As of April 23, 2025

#### Aim

This brief presents empirical evidence that links military family well-being to military readiness, warfighting capability, and lethality.

#### Background

The well-being of military families is essential to the Department of Defense (DoD). Years of research have demonstrated a robust connection between family well-being and military readiness. To strengthen readiness, the DoD provides a range of programs and services designed to enhance family well-being.

A 2019 report from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine<sup>1</sup> highlights that military family members can do the following:

- Provide essential support to Service members throughout their careers.
- Influence whether Service members remain in the military.
- Affect Service members' ability to deploy or remain in theater depending on how Service members and their families cope with challenges.
- Raise a significant number of future military recruits.
- Experience psychological or physical challenges when their Service member struggles, which can create additional costs for the DoD.

The Military Family Readiness System delivers assistance and services that support individual, couple, family, and community well-being. These efforts improve the quality of life, resilience, and overall functioning of military-connected families. These positive results, then, can directly contribute to warfighters' abilities to focus on their mission, increase the readiness of warfighters' units and installations, and help the U.S. Armed Forces maintain combat effectiveness and lethality.

The Clearinghouse for Military Family Readiness at Penn State is the result of a partnership funded by the Department of Defense between the Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Military Community and Family Policy and the USDA's National Institute of Food and Agriculture through a cooperative agreement with the Pennsylvania State University. This work leverages funds by the USDA's National Institute of Food and Agriculture and Hatch Appropriations.

#### Approach

Clearinghouse for Military Family Readiness at Penn State (Clearinghouse) researchers conducted a literature review of recent peer-reviewed journal articles and gray literature to examine the connection between and among military family well-being, military readiness, warfighting capability, and lethality.

## How Military Family Readiness Connects to Military Readiness, Warfighting Capability, and Lethality

Military family support systems positively impact Service member readiness. These systems enable Service members to train, deploy, and focus on their missions. As individual Service members become better prepared for Service, this increased readiness impacts their unit's performance and ultimately affects the U.S. Armed Forces' ability to fight and win.



# Family Support Empowers Service Members' Training Efforts and Deployments

- Implementing supports for Service members and their families, such as financial literacy courses, spouse education-assistance programs, spouse career services, and new parent-support interventions, enables Service members to focus on training and deployment. When Service members are deployed or are in training, spouses and extended family members manage household responsibilities, child care obligations, and financial matters at home, so Service members can fulfill their mission demands.<sup>1,2</sup>
- Military families provide essential care for wounded, ill, or injured Service members (including single Service members), which can ensure continued service or a successful transition to civilian life. Family caregivers manage medical appointments, rehabilitation, and emotional support, and their assistance can reduce strain on military healthcare systems.<sup>3</sup>
- **Spousal, family, and community supports significantly increase military retention.** Service members with spouses or partners who are supportive of their service in the military are more likely to remain in service. For example, retention rates increase by up to 1.98 times for senior officers. <sup>4-8</sup>
- Service members and family challenges, such as frequent relocations, child care shortages, spousal unemployment, financial strain, marital stress, and social isolation, may negatively impact Service members' readiness to deploy. Many stressors, including those listed, can affect a Service member's morale, willingness to reenlist, and ability to focus on his or her mission.<sup>5, 9-12</sup>
- **Marital satisfaction is a key predictor of military retention.** Service members in stable marriages are more likely to remain in the military, while relationship strain can contribute to separation from service.<sup>13-15</sup>

## **Family Well-Being Protects Service Members' Mental and Physical Fitness**

- Healthy family functioning allows Service members to stay mission focused during deployment. Establishing and maintaining strong family relationships can reduce stress and distractions for warfighters and can enable them to fully engage in their mission.<sup>16</sup>
- Family stressors, such as relationship conflict, divorce, and caregiving burdens, are significant risk factors that can negatively impact Service members' mental health and suicidal tendencies and, in turn, mission readiness. Divorce or separation is linked

to approximately 15% of military suicides, while family relationship problems are present in over 25% of military suicides.<sup>16-18</sup>

- Family well-being directly impacts Service members' performance during deployment. High levels of family tension, communication breakdowns, and unresolved conflicts are associated with Service members' reduced focus and decreased effectiveness in operational environments.<sup>1,19</sup>
- Access to quality military child care enhances mission readiness by reducing parental stress. Having access to reliable child care increases warfighter readiness by allowing warfighters to fully engage in their combat missions because they do not have concerns about their children's well-being.<sup>1,19,20</sup>
- SINGLE SERVICE MEMBERS Social supports tailored to single Service members such as recreational programs, community-involvement opportunities, and socialsupport networks increase warfighters' mental health and well-being and, consequently, increase overall mission readiness. Supporting Service members' strong mental health can play a key role in their commitment to remain in service and can directly influence their fitness to serve and their readiness to deploy and the U.S. Armed Forces overall lethality.<sup>21</sup>
- Opportunities for participation in leisure activities such as fitness and sports, recreation, community events, and travel enhance the mental health, life satisfaction, and overall well-being of Service members (including single Service members) and their families. These types of recreational programs help reduce stress, anxiety, and depression, which, in turn, can improve the quality of life for families and strengthen military family readiness. When Service members experience greater well-being, they are better prepared to deploy, maintain focus under pressure, and perform at their highest level, which will directly enhance mission readiness, warfighting capability, and overall force lethality.<sup>22-26</sup>
- Educational professionals who help military families navigate school transitions, understand education policies, and access resources to support their children's academic success serve as essential support to military-connected students and their families. School professionals can directly work with students and families to help reduce academic and social-emotional disruptions, which can occur during school transitions and can impact family stability. Military-connected students and families experience, on average, school transitions three times more often than their civilian peers. The role of educational professionals in fostering military-connected student resilience, academic achievement, and emotional well-being directly supports the overall readiness of military families. This support allows Service members to remain focused on their mission,

which will enhance their operational readiness, warfighting effectiveness, and force lethality.<sup>27-29</sup>

• The programs and supports of the Military Family Readiness System are critical in promoting the mental and physical well-being of Service members (including single Service members) and their families. Research consistently shows that one's mental and physical health are deeply connected. For example, depression increases the risk of chronic illnesses such as diabetes, heart disease, and stroke. Feelings of loneliness in young adults are associated with increased incidences of physical conditions like asthma, migraine headaches, arthritis, hypertension, and back pain. Illness and physical impairments reduce Service members' medical readiness and the number of deployable warfighters. Poor mental health is also linked to decreased physical performance, higher injury risk, and fatigue-related cognitive decline. In fact, sleep deprivation and reduced cognitive function contribute to up to 85% of military accidents. Supporting the mental health of Service members and their families strengthens individuals' physical readiness and helps maintain a force that is deployable, mission-ready, and lethal.<sup>30-39</sup>

#### **Resilience in Families Sustains Force Strength and Retention**

- Military family well-being is directly linked to mission readiness. Increased quality
  of life and improved well-being for Service members and their families help to secure
  retention of Service members, ensure warfighters can focus on training and their
  mission, and increase warfighters' readiness for combat.<sup>1,4,18</sup>
- Strengthening parenting skills and enhancing positive family communication can help increase military family resilience and Service members' mission readiness. Implementing programs that disseminate effective parenting and coping strategies can help families manage military-related challenges, such as learning how to decrease stress, increase focus on training and deployment needs, and improve overall mission readiness and effectiveness.<sup>19,40</sup>
- When military families develop and maintain a shared understanding of their purpose and values related to military service, this shared family mission can improve families' abilities to adjust and cope more effectively with military life's challenges. When families' beliefs align with military values and purpose, Service members may feel more supported and experience less conflict between the demands of their military service and their family life.<sup>41</sup>
- Military Service members and their families who have strong support networks experience better mental health and resilience. Peer connections, base community

resources such as Family Support Centers or Child Development Centers, and socialsupport systems can mitigate stress and improve family stability.<sup>42</sup>

- Resilient families are knowledgeable, resourceful, and proactive in managing military-life challenges. Being aware of and using available resources, being financially prepared, and learning about and using problem-solving skills can contribute to overall family and mission readiness.<sup>43</sup>
- Family-military role conflicts such as frequent relocations, which can cause disruptions in education for children and employment for spouses, can be major stressors for Service members and negatively affect mission readiness. Experiencing struggles with balancing military duties and family responsibilities can lead to increased stress, lower job performance, and retention challenges for Service members. These concerns can result in a decrease in warfighters' capabilities and the general mission readiness of the military.<sup>1,44</sup>
- Strong family communication improves Service member focus and performance. Understanding and using open and effective communication can reduce stress and enhance coping strategies for all family members and can help deployed Service members concentrate on their mission and be more lethal.<sup>16</sup>
- Programs that promote positive youth development in the family, school, and community help protect military-connected children and families from the challenges they face, including increased stress, depression, and anxiety. Youth who have consistent, supportive relationships with caring adults and meaningful opportunities to engage in personal growth report experiencing fewer depressive symptoms, greater confidence, and stronger resilience. Military children often face ongoing difficulties, and the stressors these difficulties create can disrupt family stability and affect the Service member's emotional well-being, focus, and ability to train and complete his or her mission. Youth programs strengthen family readiness by supporting youth well-being and contribute directly to overall mission readiness and warfighter effectiveness.<sup>45-50</sup>

The following section provides three real-life examples that highlight issues surrounding child care, relationships, and single Service members. These scenarios illustrate how providing support for Service members and military families can directly impact military readiness, warfighting capabilities, and lethality.

### **Example 1: Child Care for Service Members and Military-Connected** Families



#### **Example 2: Single Service Members**



# Example 3: Healthy Romantic Relationships for Service Members and Spouses



### Appendix A

#### **Definitions of Terms**

*Lethality* is the military's capacity and ability to effectively neutralize or destroy an enemy target.<sup>54</sup> Critical to the military's lethality is the size of its force, and the size of the force can improve the military's capacity to deploy forces quickly and increase its ability to identify and interpret uncertainty. In addition, lethality requires that warfighters be able to perform under pressure and in extreme situations.

*Military family* is a term that includes the individual Service member, his or her spouse, and/or children. In some cases, the Department of Defense (DoD) may also recognize a Service member's parents as part of the military family. The DoD's definition of "military family" is important because it determines which individuals associated with a Service member currently qualify for programs and services.<sup>1</sup>

*Medical readiness* refers to the overall medical, dental, and mental health status required for Service members to deploy and complete their assigned missions.<sup>51</sup> Medical readiness is determined through various assessments, including an annual periodic health assessment (PHA). Examples of the standards required for medical readiness include being updated on immunizations; being free of medical, dental, and mental/behavioral conditions that could interfere with performance; and having completed and passed the PHA and other necessary screenings and assessments.<sup>52,53</sup>

*Military family support* includes the programs, policies, practices, and procedures that are designed to assist Service members and their families in navigating the challenges and demands of military life. These supports are offered at various levels within the military, including at the DoD, the service branch, the installation, and the unit level, and they promote well-being and readiness for Service members and families. Implementing evidence-informed support based on research and data enhances the effectiveness of the military family-support system, which, consequently, leads to a positive and greater impact on military family readiness and warfighter mission readiness.<sup>1</sup>

*Military readiness* is the overall capability of the military to fight and meet the demands of all assigned missions.<sup>56</sup> This readiness is generated through a complex, ongoing process that includes building initial readiness, increasing readiness through advanced training, and sustaining readiness through continual training and resourcing. Military readiness includes the availability and preparedness of personnel, equipment, training, and infrastructure (e.g., installation facilities such as barracks and training ranges). The ultimate focus of the military readiness process is to produce and sustain prepared military units that emphasize and center on the warfighter.<sup>55</sup>

*Mission readiness* is a Service member's or unit's ability to perform a specific assigned mission effectively, safely, and on time. Whereas military readiness focuses on a general preparedness, *mission readiness* focuses on whether the force is ready to execute a particular task, operation, or deployment. The term *mission readiness* may be used interchangeably with *operational readiness*.<sup>57</sup>

**Physical Readiness** is "the ability to meet the physical demands of any duty or combat position, accomplish the mission, and come home healthy" (United States Department of the Army, 2020, p. 3).

**Total Force Fitness** is a framework developed by the DoD to evaluate, support, and maintain a Service member's health, performance, and readiness to complete his or her mission. The framework includes eight domains of fitness: physical, environmental, nutritional, financial, spiritual, medical and dental, psychological, and social. Increased levels of health across all domains result in increased Service member readiness to deploy and successfully accomplish mission-essential tasks.<sup>58-61</sup>

Warfighters are Service members who are directly involved in combat or military operations.

*Warfighting capability* refers to the skills, equipment, and technologies that enable Service members to complete tasks or carry out missions under various conditions while meeting operational requirements.<sup>57</sup> These capabilities ensure warfighters can effectively adapt to challenges and achieve mission success in modern warfare.

## Appendix B

#### References

- 1. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2019). *Strengthening the Military Family Readiness System for a changing American society*. The National Academies Press. <u>https://doi.org/10.17226/25380</u>
- Knapp, D., Marrone, J. V., Miller, L. L., & Trail, T. E. (2019). *The impact of a spouse incentive on employee retention: Evidence from a military spouse scholarship* (Working Paper No. WR-1295). RAND Corporation. <a href="https://www.rand.org/pubs/working\_papers/WR1295.html">https://www.rand.org/pubs/working\_papers/WR1295.html</a>
- Ramchand, R., Dalton, S., Dubowitz, T., Hyde, K., Malika, N., Morral, A. R., Ohana, E., Parks, V., Schell, T. L., Swabe, G., Trail, T. E., & Williams, K. M. (2024). *America's military and veteran caregivers: Hidden heroes emerging from the shadows*. RAND Corporation. <u>https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_reports/RRA3212-1.html</u>
- 4. Blue Star Families. (2024). *Military family lifestyle survey: 2023 comprehensive report.* <u>https://bluestarfam.org/wpcontent/uploads/2024/04/BSF\_MFLS\_Comp\_Report\_Full\_Digital-042424.pdf</u>
- 5. Office of People Analytics. (2017). Spousal support to stay as a predictor of actual retention behavior: A logistic regression analysis (OPA Report No. 2017-009). https://www.opa.mil/research-analysis/quality-of-work-life/status-of-forces-survey-reports-briefings/predictors-of-retention-intentions-among-active-duty-service-members/
- Gade, P. A., Tiggle, R. B., & Schumm, W. R. (2003). The measurement and consequences of military organizational commitment in soldiers and spouses. In P. A. Gade (Ed.), *Organizational commitment in the military* (1st ed., pp. 191–207). <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315782294-3</u>
- 7. Griffith, J., Rakoff, S., & Helms, R. (1992). *Family and other impacts on retention* (Technical Report No. 951). The U.S. Army Research Institute for the Behavioral and Social Sciences.
- Woodall, K. A., Esquivel, A. P., Powell, T. M., Riviere, L. A., Amoroso, P. J., Stander, V. A., & Millennium Cohort Family Study Team. (2023). Influence of family factors on service members' decisions to leave the military. *Family Relations*, *72*(3), 1138-1157. https://doi.org/10.1111/fare.12757

- Keller, K. M., Hall, K. C., Matthews, M., Payne, L. A., Saum-Manning, L., Yeung, D., Schulker, D., Zavislan, S., & Lim, N. (2018). Addressing barriers to female officer retention in the Air Force. RAND Corporation. <u>https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_reports/RR2073.html</u>
- Schneider, R. J., & Martin, J. A. (1994). Military families and combat readiness. In L. B. Davis, C. Mathews Quick, & S. E. Siegel (Eds.), *Military psychiatry: Preparing in peace for war* (pp. 19-30). Textbook of Military Medicine.
- Shiffer, C. O., Maury, R. V., Sonethavilay, H., Hurwitz, J. L., Lee, H. C., Linsner, R. K., & Mehta, M. S. (2017). 2017 Blue Star Families military family lifestyle survey. Blue Star Families. <u>https://www.secome.org/MFLS-ComprehensiveReport17-FINAL.pdf</u>
- 12. Sims, C. S., Trail, T. E., Chen, E. K., & Miller, L. L. (2017). *Today's soldier: Assessing the needs of soldiers and their families*. RAND Corporation. https://www.rand.org/pubs/research\_reports/RR1893.html
- 13. Office of People Analytics. (2019). *Predictors of spousal support for a member to stay* on active duty (OPA Report No. 2019-039). <u>https://download.militaryonesource.mil/12038/MOS/Surveys/Predictors-Spousal-Support.pdf</u>
- 14. Office of People Analytics. (2022). *Predictors of retention intentions among active duty service members* (OPA Report No. 2023-013). <u>https://www.opa.mil/research-analysis/quality-of-work-life/status-of-forces-survey-reports-briefings/predictors-of-retention-intentions-among-active-duty-service-members/</u>
- 15. Tidwell, A. L., & Lucier-Greer, M. (2024). Should I stay or should I go? The collective effects of work, family, and mental health on military career intentions among activeduty and National Guard and Reserve soldiers. *Military Psychology*. 1-14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08995605.2024.2376931</u>
- 16. Joint Mental Health Advisory Team 7. (2011). *Operation Enduring Freedom 2010 Afghanistan*. Defense Technical Information Center.
- 17. Griffith, J., & Bryan, C. J. (2017). Soldier background and postinvestigative events associated with timing of suicide following deployment of U.S. Army National Guard soldiers. *Military Psychology, 29*(3), 202–215. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/mil0000163</u>

- Military Family Advisory Network. (2024). 2023 Military Family Support Programming Survey: Full report. <u>https://www.mfan.org/wp-content/uploads/2024/06/MFAN-2023-MFSPS-Full-Report.pdf</u>
- Gewirtz, A. H., & Youssef, A. M. (2016). Parenting and children's resilience in military families: A twenty-first century perspective. In A. Gewirtz & A. Youssef (Eds.), *Parenting and children's resilience in military families* (pp. 1–9). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12556-5 1
- 20. United States Government Accountability Office. (2023). *Military child care: DOD efforts* to provide affordable, quality care for families (GAO-23-105518). <u>https://www.gao.gov/products/gao-23-105518</u>
- Blais, R. K., Tirone, V., Orlowska, D., Lofgreen, A., Klassen, B., Held, P., Stevens, N., & Zalta, A. K. (2021). Self-reported PTSD symptoms and social support in U.S. military service members and veterans: A meta-analysis. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology*, 12(1). <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/20008198.2020.1851078</u>
- 22. Brewer-Smyth, K. (2022). Self-help for lifelong resilience: Cognitive engagement, education, creativity, sense of purpose in life, and humor. In *Adverse childhood experiences*. Springer Cham. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-08801-8\_14</u>
- 23. Lisle, D. (2016). Off-duty resilience: Reorienting tourism, leisure, and recreation in the US Army BOSS Program. *American Quarterly*, *68*(3), 747–768. <u>http://muse.jhu.edu/article/631128</u>
- 24. Melton, K. K., Hodge, C. J., & Duerden, M. D. (2022). Ecology of family experiences: Contextualizing family leisure for human development & family relations. *Journal of Leisure Research*, *53*(1), 112–131. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2020.1802374</u>
- Petrou, P., & de Vries, J. (2023). Context-free and work-related benefits of a leisure crafting intervention: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Leisure Research*, *56*(1), 123–148. <u>https://doi-org.ezaccess.libraries.psu.edu/10.1080/00222216.2023.2244953</u>
- 26. Webster, N. J., Ajrouch, K. J., & Antonucci, T. C. (2021). Volunteering and health: The role of social network change. *Social Science & Medicine, 285*, 1–9. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2021.114274</u>
- 27. Center for Public Research and Leadership, & Military Child Education Coalition. (2017). *The challenges of supporting highly mobile, military-connected children in school transitions.* Columbia Law School.

https://cprl.law.columbia.edu/sites/cprl.law.columbia.edu/files/content/military\_student transitions\_study\_2017\_mcec.pdf

- Segler, L. J., & Pisano, M. C. (2021). Understanding and supporting military families through family-school-community partnerships. In S. M. Sheridan & E. M. Kim (Eds.), *Advances in family-school-community partnering* (2nd ed., pp. 133–153). Routledge. <u>https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315144733</u>
- Stamp, L. T., Barry, C. M., Splett, J. W., & Sheridan, S. M. (2024). Inventory of School Supports–Youth Report (ISS-YR): Development and validation with military-connected students. *School Mental Health*. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s12310-024-09631-3</u>
- Beckner, M. E., Conkright, W. R., Eagle, S. R., Martin, B. J., Sinnott, A. M., LaGoy, A. D., Proessl, F., Lovalekar, M., Jabloner, L., Roma, P., Basner, M., Ferrarelli, F., Germain, A. Flanagan, S., Connaboy, C., & Nindl, B. C. (2021). Impact of simulated military operational stress on executive function relative to trait resilience, aerobic fitness, and neuroendocrine biomarkers. *Physiology & Behavior, 236*, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2021.113413
- Billing, D. C., Fordy, G. R., Friedl, K. E., & Hasselstrøm, H. (2021). The implications of emerging technology on military human performance research priorities. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 24(10), 947–953. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2020.10.007</u>
- Bufford, R. K., Frise, A., Paloutzian, R. F., Mulhearn, T. J., Scheuneman, N., Chappelle, W., Galloway, K., & Prince, L. (2023). Psychological and spiritual factors affecting wellbeing among military personnel engaged in remote combat. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy*, *15*(8), 1334. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/tra0001352</u>
- Chida, Y., & Steptoe, A. (2008). Positive psychological well-being and mortality: A quantitative review of prospective observational studies. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 70(7), 741–756. <u>https://doi.org/10.1097/PSY.0b013e31818105ba</u>
- Christiansen, J., Qualter, P., Friis, K., Pedersen, S. S., Lund, R., Andersen, C. M., Bekker-Jeppesen, M., & Lasgaard, M. (2021). Associations of loneliness and social isolation with physical and mental health among adolescents and young adults. *Perspectives in Public Health, 141*(4), 226–236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/17579139211016077</u>
- 35. Fossati, C., Torre, G., Vasta, S., Giombini, A., Quaranta, F., Papalia, R., & Pigozzi, F. (2021). Physical exercise and mental health: The routes of a reciprocal relation.

International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, 18(23), 12364. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182312364

- 36. National Institute of Mental Health. (2024). Understanding the link between chronic disease and depression. <u>https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/publications/chronic-illness-mental-health</u>
- 37. United States Department of the Army. (2020). *Holistic health and fitness (Field Manual 7-22)*. <u>https://armypubs.army.mil/epubs/DR\_pubs/DR\_a/ARN30964-FM\_7-22-001-WEB-4.pdf</u>
- Vrijkotte, S., Roelands, B., Meeusen, R., & Pattyn, N. (2016). Sustained military operations and cognitive performance. *Aerospace Medicine and Human Performance*, *87*(8), 718–727. <u>https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.4468.2016</u>
- Zueger, R., Niederhauser, M., Utzinger, C., Annen, H., & Ehlert, U. (2023). Effects of resilience training on mental, emotional, and physical stress outcomes in military officer cadets. *Military Psychology*, 35(6), 566–576. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/08995605.2022.2139948</u>
- Gewirtz, A. H., & Zamir, O. (2014). The impact of parental deployment to war on children: The crucial role of parenting. In J. Benson (Ed.), *Advances in child development and behavior* (Vol. 46, pp. 89-112). Elsevier. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-800285-8.00004-2</u>
- 41. Saltzman, W. R., Lester, P., Beardslee, W. R., Layne, C. M., Woodward, K., & Nash, W. P. (2011). Mechanisms of risk and resilience in military families: Theoretical and empirical basis of a family-focused resilience enhancement program. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*, *14*(3), 213–230. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10567-011-0096-1</u>
- 42. Hawkins, S. A., Condon, A., Hawkins, J. N., Liu, K., Ramirez, Y. M., Nihill, M. M., & Tolins, J. (2018). *What we know about military family readiness: Evidence from 2007-2017*. Research Facilitation Laboratory, Army Analytics Group, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of the Army. <u>https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/pdfs/AD1050341.pdf</u>
- 43. United States Department of Defense. (2017). *Military family readiness* (DoD Instruction 1342.22).
   <u>https://www.esd.whs.mil/Portals/54/documents/DD/issuances/dodi/134222p.pdf</u>

- Barlas, F. M., Higgins, W. B., Pflieger, J. C., & Diecker, K. (2013). 2011 Department of Defense health related behaviors survey of active duty military personnel. ICF International. <u>https://www.health.mil/Reference-Center/Reports/2013/02/01/2011-</u> <u>Health-Related-Behaviors-Active-Duty-Executive-Summary</u>
- 45. García-Carrión, R., Villarejo-Carballido, B., & Villardón-Gallego, L. (2019). Children and adolescents mental health: A systematic review of interaction-based interventions in schools and communities. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *10*, 918. <u>https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.00918</u>
- 46. Masten, A. S. (2001). Ordinary magic: Resilience processes in development. *American Psychologist*, *56*, 227–238. <u>https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.227</u>
- 47. Masten, A. S. (2018). Resilience theory and research on children and families: Past, present, and promise. *Journal of Family Theory & Review*, *10*(1), 12–31. https://doi.org/10.1111/jftr.12255
- 48. Nursey-Bray, M., Masud-All-Kamal, M., Di Giacomo, M., & Millcock, S. (2022). Building community resilience through youth volunteering: Towards a new model. *Regional Studies, Regional Science, 9*(1), 242–263. https://doi.org/10.1080/21681376.2022.2067004
- Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, *88*(4), 1156–1171. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864</u>
- 50. Vaughn, L. M., & DeJonckheere, M. (2021). The opportunity of social ecological resilience in the promotion of youth health and wellbeing: A narrative review. *The Yale Journal of Biology and Medicine*, *94*(1), 129. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33795989/
- 51. Military Health System. (2022, September 15). *Medical readiness*. <u>https://www.health.mil/Reference-Center/Glossary-Terms/2022/07/13/Medical-</u> <u>Readiness</u>
- 52. Defense Health Agency (n.d.). *Medical readiness.* Walter Reed National Military Medical Center. <u>https://walterreed.tricare.mil/Health-Services/Readiness/Medical-Readiness</u>

- 53. United States Department of Defense. (2022). *Individual medical readiness program* (DoD Instruction 6025.19). https://www.esd.whs.mil/portals/54/documents/dd/issuances/dodi/602519p.pdf
- 54. United States Department of the Army. (2022). *Field manual (FM) 3-0, Operations.* <u>https://armypubs.army.mil/epubs/DR\_pubs/DR\_a/ARN36290-FM\_3-0-000-WEB-2.pdf</u>
- 55. Congressional Research Service. (2020, October). *The fundamentals of military readiness* (CRS Report No. R46559). <u>https://sgp.fas.org/crs/natsec/R46559.pdf</u>
- 56. Joint Publication 1. (25 March 2013, incorporating Change 1, July 2017). *Doctrine for the Armed Forces of the United States*. Joint Chiefs of Staff, United States Department of Defense. <u>https://irp.fas.org/doddir/dod/jp1.pdf</u>
- 57. United States Department of Defense. (2024). *Readiness reporting guidance for the defense readiness reporting system* (DoD Instruction 7730.66). https://www.esd.whs.mil/portals/54/documents/dd/issuances/dodi/101010p.pdf
- 58.United States Department of Defense. (2022). DoD physical fitness/body composition<br/>programprogram(DoDInstruction1308.03).
- 59. United States Department of Defense. (2022). *Health promotion and disease prevention* (DoD Instruction 1010.10). https://www.esd.whs.mil/portals/54/documents/dd/issuances/dodi/101010p.pdf
- 60. Lunasco, T. K., Chamberlin, R. A., & Deuster, P. A. (2019). Human performance optimization: An operational and operator-centric approach. *Journal of Special Operations Medicine*. *19*(3), 101–106. <u>https://doi.org/10.55460/SN5G-CMOA</u>
- 61. Mullen. Μ. G. (2013)September 23). Chairman's force fitness total framework (Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 3405.01). https://www.ics.mil/Portals/36/Documents/Library/Instructions/3405 01.pdf